

## Motivation to a Deadlock Detection in Mobile Agents with Pseudo-Code

Rashmi Priya

**Abstract :** *The solution presented locates locality of reference during the deadlock detection process by migrating detector agents to query multiple blocked agents. To message each blocked agent individually and gather their responses at the shadow agent itself is an alternative to this single migration. The pseudo code provides a context for the solution and insight into the responsibilities and activities performed by each entity.*

**Keywords:** *Deadlock, Agents, pseudo code, detector, entity*

### I. Introduction

As presented in the previous section traditional distributed solutions commonly have fault and location assumptions that make them unsuitable for mobile agent systems. To solve this problem, mobile agent specific solutions are required. The properties of the presented deadlock detection algorithm illustrate how it is a fully adapted mobile agent solution.

The presented technique is fault tolerant and robust. Lost agents or messages during the deadlock detection process do not represent a critical failure. This fault tolerance is due to three properties of the algorithm: the autonomous nature of the agents, the periodic nature of the detection process and the copying of deadlock information. Shadow, deadlock detection and consumer agents execute asynchronously. They do not depend on continual communication during the deadlock detection process. The algorithm is designed around incremental construction of the global wait-for graph. Finally therefore if a portion of the graph is lost, the next update will recover that information. Hence copying of the partial wait-for graph into deadlock detection agents make the loss or failure of a particular deadlock detection agent trivial and has no impact on the detection process, outside of slowing the process. Additional safeguards can be built into the agent hosts. such as agent crash detection, to improve fault tolerance

### II. Algorithm Motivation and Agent Properties

By limiting the number of messages that would be required in other solutions the Detector migration reduces network load. It is difficult to compare the network load of this mobile agent solution to that generated in traditional distributed deadlock detection solutions due to the significantly different paradigm and properties of the environment. This is due to the parallel /distributed nature of the technique, which enforces the lack of a central point of messaging and coordination. This reduces the risk of flash congestion and allows the technique to handle deadlock involving many blocked agents.

The load is spread across many host environments, if the network load of the presented solution is considered as a whole.

Additionally, network organization independence is guaranteed through a clear separation of mobile agents from the mechanics of routing and migration, the agents are not aware of the number of hosts in the mobile agent system and do not have explicit knowledge of resource locations. It should be noted that even though the solution is network independent, the topology is static once the algorithm begins. If the topology is allowed to change, a dynamic topology update protocol must execute in the background to provide new routes to the hosts.

A common use of mobile agents is to encapsulate complex protocols and interactions [24]. This technique uses the combination of shadow agents and deadlock detection agents to encapsulate a complex series of probes, interactions and acknowledgments.

Additionally, these protocols are isolated from the consumer agent; therefore, can be easily modified and upgraded. The deadlock detection phase could be implemented as remote procedure calls or another form of distributed programming, but would require network organization assumptions and the continual exchange of messages. Detector and shadow agents carry out their deadlock detection tasks in an asynchronous manner. They coordinate their efforts in defined ways, but are able to keep working without regular contact and do not require constant supervision while carrying out tasks. This asynchronous and autonomous operation contributes to the previously discussed fault tolerance.. For example the combination of consumer, shadow and detector agents

adapt to their environment to solve deadlock situations, shadow agents react independently to changing network conditions and the state of their target consumer agent to initiate the deadlock detection processing. Similarly the separation of the implementation from facilities specific to a particular mobile agent system or operating system detector agents can react to network failures or their requests of other agents while gathering global wait-for graph information. allows the solution to execute in a heterogeneous environment. Moreover the separation of replica and detector agents from the consuming agents they monitor, allows them to be adapted to many different environments without (or with minor) modifications to the entities performing the work.

### III. Deadlock Detection Pseudocode

This pseudo code provides a context for the solution and insight into the responsibilities and activities performed by each entity. This section presents pseudo-code of each element that plays a significant role in the presented solution.

First, pseudo-code for the consumer, shadow and detection agent is presented. Finally, code for the mobile agent environment is presented.

#### 3.1 Agent A

```
public class AgentA extends MobileAgent
{
public AgentA( String int heartbeat )
{
state = IDLE;
}
public void run()

{
while( true )
{
messages = getMessagesFromBlackboard( agentId );
processMessages( messages );
switch ( state )
{
case IDLE:
case WAITING:
// do nothing
break;
case MOVING:
if( currentHost is not targetEnvironment )
{
postRouteRequest( targetEnvironment );
}
else
{
// Made it!
state = IDLE;
}
break;
}
sleep ( heartbeatDelay );
\
}
private Vector processMessages( messages )
{
while ( more Messages )
if message was accepted remove from list;
return unprocessed messages;
}
}
```

```
private boolean processMessage( BlackboardEntry msg )
if ( message equals "remove" AND state is IDLE )
{
targetEnvironment = get target from message;
state = MOVING;
// lets ask our current environment to route us
postBlackboardMsg( "route",
targetEnvironment );
}
else if( message equals "lock" AND
state is IDLE OR WAITING )
{
extract lock type and resource from message ;
lockResource( lockType, resource );
if( message equals
{
ext ract resource f rom message;
unlockResource( resource );
}
{// locked. the AgentEnvironment should have created
// a shadow agent and placed us under it's watchful
// eye.
// Special case. if the resource we just locked was
// the same as a resource we were blocked on, it
// means the Environment notified us and we should
// move into the idle state

AND state
private void lockResource( String locktype,
String resourceName )
{
if( lockType equals "exclusive" )
{
get resource manager;
if resourceManager.lockResource( resourceName,
lockType ) succeeds
{
if( resourceName equals blockedResourceName )
state = IDLE;
}
else
// locked failed .. time to block
state = WAITING;
blockedResourceName = resourceName;
// Notify our gracious host ...
postBlackboardMessage("agentBlock", resourceName );
private void unlockResource( String resourceName )
{
get Resource Manager
resourceManager.unlockResource(resourceName);
}}
```

### 3.2 Agent B

```
public class ReplicaAgent extends MobileAgent
(
public ReplicaAgent ( String id, String targetAgent, int heartbeat )
```

```
{
state = IDLE;
targetAgentName = targetAgent;
reset locked resource list
reset detection info table
reset detector agent
{
public void run()
while( true )
{
// Check to see if Our detector is dead
checkForDetectorDeath ( ) ;
if ( state is not MOVING )
{
messages = getMessagesFrom BlackBoard();

messages =processMessages(messages);
{

switch ( state
{
case IDLE:
break;
get~messagesFromBlackboard();
processMessages( messages );
case MOVING:
if( currentHost is not targetEnviroment ) )
{
routeRequest( targetEnvironment );
{
else
{
state = IDLE;
}
break;
}
sleep ( heartbeatDelay ;
}
}
private Vector processMessages( messages )
{
while( more Messages )
{
processMessage( currentMessage );
if message processed remove from list;
{
return unprocessed messages;
{
private boolean processMessage( BlackboardEntry msg )
{
Vector attachments = msg.getAttachments();
if( message equals "move" AND state is IDLE )
{
String target = extract target from message;
targetEnvironment = target;
state = MOVfNG;
{
else if( message equals "addLock" )
{
}
```

```
addlock( attachment #1,
attachent #2,
attachment #3,
attachent #4 );
retVal = true;
else if( message equals "removeLock" )
{
removelock ( attachment #1, attachment #2 );
state = IDLE;
retVal = true;
{
else if( message equals ablockedm ) )
{
blockedTarget( attachment #1 );
retVal = true;
{
else if( messge equals wunblockedw ) )
{
unblockedTarget ( );
retVal = true;
{
else if( message equals "deadlockReport" ) )
{
processReturnOfDetector( attachment#1, attachment #2, attachment #3 );
retVal = true;
{
else if( message equals "deadlockInfoRequest" ) 1
retVal = true;
else
{
// We dontt understand this message, but our superclass
// might have some good ideas ...
retVal = super.processMessage( msg );

{
{
public void exit ()
{
if( detector )
{
Remove ( detector from host environment);
{
super. exit () ;
private void addlock( String environment,String resource,String owner,int priority1 )
(// Check to see if we contain this lock already)
if( resource not already locked )
{
new resourceInfo ( env, res, owner, priority1 );
store resourceInfo in locked resource list
}
}
Private void removeLock( String env1, String resourceName1 )
{
if ( resourceName1 is in locked resource list )
{
remove resource from locked resource list
{
{
privatevoid unblockedTarget1 ( )
```

```
{
// unblocked target
state = IDLE;
{
private void blockedTarget( Agent blockedAgent,
String (resourceName )
{
State1= TARGETBLOCKED;
owner = query host environment for owner of resource;
blockedResourceName = resourceName;
localAgents = query host environment about local agents;
if ( owner inlocalAgents )

Table .put ( targetAgentName, new DetectionInfo( .. ) );
// 1) Create agent
Detector1 = new DetectorAgentO;
// 2) Put the agent
postBlackboardMsg( detector );
// 3) agent start
postBlackboardMsg( buildDetectorLocks () );
numOfDetectionStarts++;
lastDetectionStartTime = current time ;
{
{
private void processReturnOfDetector( DetectorAgent agent )
(// Our detector is back, let's see what's new)
switch( state )
{
case TARGET-BLOCKED :
if ( checkForDeadlock( agent.getDetectionTables0 ) )
(
// We have a deadlock, better resolve it.
resolveDeadlock( agent ) ;
reset detectionInfoTable;
{
case IDLE:
// Our target unblocked if this is the case ...
// let's kill the detector ...
removeDetector () ;
(
// The state will have changed to waiting for unlock
// if the deadlock check succeeded
switch ( state )
(
case TARGET-BLOCKED:
// reset and restart the detector
postBlackboardMsg( "start", buildDetectorLocks() ); numOfDetectionStarts++; )
lastDetectionStartTime = current time ;
break;
case WAITING, FOR~UNLOCK:
// We are breaking the deadlock don't start
// any new processing .
break;
{
{
private boolean checkForDeadlock( Vector detectionTableList )
{
// - If we find the resource that our master is blocked
// on in the returned lock list ... we have a
```

```
// deadlock
// - If we don't find the resource, just add the locks
// to our global list.
// Let's go through the returned table.. entry by entry
// and add it to our detection table.
while ( detectionTableList has more entries )
{
detectionTable = currentdetectiontable;
agentList = get agent list from detectionTable;
while( agentList has more entries )
{
detectionInfo = detectioninfo from currenttable
related to current agent;
add detectionInfo to global table;
if ( current agent name equals targetAgentName )
{
deadlockFound = True;
{
{
return deadlockFound;
}
private void resolveDeadlock( DetectorAgent agent )
{
// Build a list of the resources involved in the cycle ...
if( state is TARGETBLOCKED )
{
// So we found a deadlock .. the question is are
// we the one to break it ?
// Find the Cycle ...
cycleList = findElementsInCycle( detectionInfoTable ) ;
while( cycleList has more elements )
{
// find resource with lowest priority
lockToBreak = lowest priority resource;
{
if ( lockToBreak equals resource we are blocked on )
{
// Let's send our detector off on his mission
// to unlock the resource ..
// But first we better set him up with the
// correct information to survive the
// destination ResourceManager*~ interrogation.
// 3) start the detector agent
postBlackboardMsg( "unlock",
LockToBreak );
state = WAITING-FOR-UNLOCK;
{
{
private Vector findcycle ( Hashtable detectionInfoTable )
{
Vector cycleVector = new Vector();
cyclevector add( resource we are blocked on );
info = find entry in detectionInfoTable whose primary
lock is the current resource;
// Loop until we find the entry for our agent
while( current entry's agent name is not equal to
our target agent )
{
```

```
// Walk up the tree to the parent node.
info = findentry in detectionInfoTable whose primary
lock is the current resource;

cyclevector add( info );
{
return cyclevector;
}
private void checkForDetectorDeath()
{
Date currentTime = current time;
BlackboardEntry msg;
if( numOfDetectionStarts > 0 AND ( state is TARGETBLOCKED OR state is WAITING-FOR, UNLOCK ) {
{
// We have a dead detector
// 1) Create a detector agent
detector = new DetectorAgent 0;
// 2) Inject the agent
postBlackboardMsg ( "inject", detector );

if( state is TARGET-BLOCKED )
{
// 3) start the agent
postBlackboardMsg( "start", buildDetectorLocks() );
{
else if( state is WAITING-FOR-UNLOCK )
resolveDeadlock( detector.getIdentifier());
detector.getToken() );
{
lastDetectionStartTime = current time;
}
}
}
}
}
}
```

### 3.3 Agent C

```
public class AgentC extends MobileAgent
{
public AgentC( String id, int heartbeat,
ShadowAgent parent )
{
reset detection Table List;
reset resources To Vist;
reset targetEnvironment;
reset targetResource;
state = IDLE;
set parent = parent;
{
public void run ()
{
while ( true )
{
if ( state is not MOVING )
{
messages = getMessagesfromBlackboard () ;
messages = processMessages( messages );
```



```
}
switch ( state)
getMessagesfromBlackboard ();
processMessages( messages );
(
case IDLE:
break;
case MOVING:
if( currentHost is not targetEnvironment )
{
else
{
state = CHECKING-LOCKS;
{
break;
if( current Host is not targetEnvironment )
{
if( host.unlockResource( targetResource, agentToNotify )
{
( state = RETURN-FROM-UNLOCK);
{
else
state = IDLE;
{
case RETURNRNFROM, the LOCK:
if( currentHost is not startingEnvironment )
(
Shadow,removeLock( targetEnvironment, targetResource );
state = IDLE;
{
case DONE:
if( currenthost is not startingEnvironment )

(
state = REPORT-RESULTS;
)
case CHECKING-LOCKS:
checklocks ( );
break;
case REPORT-RESULTS:
postMessageToBlackboard( shadowAgent, deadlockInfo );
break;
}
sleep( heartbeatDelay ) ;
private Vector processMessages( messages )
{
while ( more Messages )
{
processMessage( currentMessage );
if message processed remove from list;
{
return unprocessed messages;
{
private boolean processMessage( BlackboardEntry rmsg )
{
attachments = msg.getAttachments();
if( message equals "startW ")
{
startDetection ( (Vector) attachment ;
```

```
retVal = true;
else if( message equals "unlock" ) {
(
startunlock ( attachment #1,
attachment #2,
attachent #3 );
retVal = true;
message ;
deadlockRequestResponse ( attachment #1 );
retVal = true;
{
else
{
super.processMessage( msg 1;
{
return retVal;
{
private void startDetection( resources )
( setVisitlist( resources ));
targetEnvironment( entry.getEnvlame() );
targetResource ( entry. getResName () );
// Reset the table ...
detectionTablelist( new VectorO 1;
start ingEnvironment ( getHost () . getName () );
state ( MOVING );
{
private void checklocks ()
{
while( shadowlist has more elements )
count expected responses;
{
if( expected responses >0)
(
state = WAITING-FOR-RESPONSE;
{
else
{
findNewTarget () ;
}
private void deadlockRequestResponse( newTable )
{
shadowList = query current host for agents blocked on
the resource we are visiting;
expectedResponses--;
detectionTablelist.add( newTable );
if( all expectertesponses received )
{
findNewTarget 0 ;
}
}
private void findNewTarget0
{
if( more resource to visit )
get next resource;
// Let's get started ...
targetEnvironment = entry.getEnvName();
targetResource = entry.getResName();
{
else
```

```
{
// Tirne to head home ..
targetEnvironment = startingEnvironment;
state = DONE;

}
}
}
```

#### Host Environment

```
public class AgentEnvironment extends Thread
public AgentEnvironment( String name, int id, int loggingLevel )
{
resourceManager = new ResourceManagerO;
topologyManager = new TopologyManager();
reset agentTable;
reset messageBoard;
reset blockedAgentTable;
globalIdentifier = id;
state = PROCESSING;
}
// Global Identifier can be used as the priority

public void run ()
(
while( true )
{
checkEorMessages () ;
updateRoutes () ;
sleep( 1000 );
}
)
public synchronized void agentEnter( Agent newAgent )
{
if( state is PROCESSING )
{
agentTable.put( newAgent ) ;
newAgent.enter0;
}
}
private synchronized void agentExit( Agent leavingAgent
{
if ( state is PROCESSING )
(
leavingAgent. exit ( ) ;
)
}
private void agentBlock( Agent blockedAgent, String resourceName)
(
// Look for a replica agent ...
replica= find replica agent for blockedAgent;
if ( shadow found )
{
...
postBlackboardMsg( "blockedAgent", resourceName ) ;
private void checkForMessages()
```

```
{
get messages from blackboard;
while ( more messages)
{
processMessage( current message );
}
}
private void processMessage( BlackboardEntry msg
attachments = rmsg.getAttachments();
if( message equals "pause" ) )
state( PAUSED );

else if( message equals wresumen ) )
(
state( PROCESSING );
message equals
(
agentBlock ( msg . getAgent Id () , attachment t1 ,attachment t2);
if( message equals 0)
{
routeRequest(msg.getAgentId(), attachment # 1 ,attachment #2);
{
else if (( message equals *inject)
this.injectAgent( attachment)

else if( message equals "remove" ) )
{
removeAgent ( attachrnt #1 ) ;
}
}
private boolean routeRequest( String movingAgent, EnvironmentToken token,
String targetEnv )
{
if( state() is PROCESSING )
{
movingAgent = get moving agent from agent tables;
(
return true;
)
if( check for shadow information in the token )
shadowAgentId = get shadow name from token;
If ( check for shadow agent in agent tables )
{
shadow = get shadow agent from agent tables;
}
else
{
retVal = f else;
}
}
if ( retVal is true )
(
AgentEnvironment env = request route from
topologyManager;
if ( env is not nuil )
(
suspendAgent( movingAgent );
agentExit( movingAgent 1;
agenttable () . remove ( movingAgent Id ) ;
```

```
env.agentEnter( movingAgent );
if ( shadow is not null )
{
suspendAgent ( shadow ) ;
agentExit( shadow );
agenttable () . remove ( shadow ) ;
env.agentEnter ( shadow ) ;
{
Else
{
retVal = false;
}
}
}
else
(
retVal = false;
)
return retVal;
}
public Vector getBlockedAgents( String resourceName )
{
return list of agents blocked on resourceName;
}
public synchronized void postMessage( String agentId,
String message )
{
add message for agentId to the message lists;
}
public synchronized Enumeration getMessages( String agentId )
{
return messages for agentId;
}
private injectAgent( Agent newAgent )
{
newAgent . start () ;
agentTable.put( newAgent );
private void removeAgent( String agentName )
}
agentTable.remove( agentName ) ;
}
private void updateRoutes()

}}}
```

#### **IV. Conclusion**

The presented algorithm is designed with the unique properties and challenges of mobile agent systems as a motivating factor. As a result, the solution has some of the properties and features that are commonly found in mobile agent implementations. This section lists the properties of the proposed algorithm which make it a mobile agent solution. The solution is network organization independent. The algorithm makes no assumptions concerning network topology (i.e., ring). the number of hosts or node locations to support the solution. Resource-based routing and tracking of the nodes visited by a particular agent eliminate the need for explicit topology knowledge

#### **References:**

- [1] Walsh, T., Paciorek, N. and Wong, D. "Security and Reliability inConcordia.", Appeared in *Mobility: Processes, Computer and Agents*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1999.
- [2] Mitsubishi Electric Information Technology Center. "Concordia - Java Mobile Agent Technology." *World Wide Web*, January 2000, <http://www.meitacom/HSUProjects/Concordia/>
- [3] University ofStuttgart. "TheHomeof the Mole." *WorldWideWeb*,September 2000, <http://mole.infonnatik.uni-stuttgart.de/>
- [4] University of Tromse and Cornell University. "TACOMA - Operating System Support For Mobile Agents." *World Wide Web*. August 1999. <http://www.tacoma.cs.uit.no/>
- [5] ObjectSpace Inc. "ObjectSpace Voyager Core Package Technical Overview.", Appeared in *Mobility: Processes, Computer and Agents*, Addison-Wesley, Reading, 1999.
- [6] ObjectSpace Inc. "ObjectSpace Product Information: Voyager." *WorldWideWeb*. September 2000.<http://www.objectspace.com/products/voyager/>
- [7] Fachbereich Infonnatik and Johann-Wolfgang-Goethe-Universitaet Frankfurt. "ffMain". *World Wide Web*. February 2000. <http://www.tm.informatik.uni-frankfurt.de/Projekte/MN>
- [8] University ofGeneva. "TheMessenger Proejct." *WorldWideWeb*.December 1997. <http://cui.unige.ch/tios/msgr/>
- [9] General Magic, Inc. "Odyssey". *World Wide Web*. November 2000. <http://www.genmagic.com/>
- [10] University ofModena. "MARS(Mobile Agent Reactive Space)." *WorldWideWeb*. October 2000.<http://sirio.dsi.unimo.it/MOON/MARS/index.html>
- [11] CardelliY.LtMobileComputational Ambients." *WorldWide Web*. September 2000. <http://www.luca.demon.co.uk/Ambit/Ambit.html>
- [12] TU Berlin andUniversity ofBologna. "ThePageSpace Effort." *WorldWideWeb*. January1997.<http://flp.cs.tu-berlin.de/pagespc/>
- [13] Flanagan, D.*JavainaNutshell*.O'Reilly &Associates, Inc.,Cambridge, 1996.
- [14] Horstmann, C. and Cornell, G. *Core Java Volume I - Fundamentals*. Sun MicrosystemsPress,California. 1999.
- [15] Sun Microsystems Inc. "Java 2Platform vl.2.2 API Specification." *WorldWide Web*.September 1999.<http://java.sun.com/products/jdk/1.2/docs/api/index.html>